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1. Introduction

The use of simulation technologies in medicine, and especially in dentistry, spreads extremely quickly. The reason for their increased demand is the need for quality medical
care and the need quickly learning the manual skills of students. Creation and implementation of a new material and technical base, development of new algorithms and standards of treatment significantly increase the effectiveness of dental care (1,2,3,4,5).

At present there are simulators of seven different levels of realism:
1) visual (anatomical model or computer textbook);
2) tactile (phantom, simulator of manual skill);
3) reactive (phantom, dummy with electronic controller, economic simulator);
4) automated (dummy with computer controller, video system of surgical training);
5) hardware (addition of a dummy or simulator with medical equipment);
6) interactive (the robot-simulator of the patient of the highest class, the virtual simulator with the reverse connection);
7) integrated (a system of interacting simulators and robots) (6,7,8,9).

As part of pre-clinical training, dentists work in phantom units, practicing many of the skills that are needed to further patients treat. Various phantom settings allow you to master manual skills to students of stomatological faculties with different learning curve. Learning the manual skill of preparation on phantom units is quite a long process. Thus, the development of new algorithms for teaching the manual skill of the preparation is relevant for today (10,11,12,13).

A study of the effectiveness of teaching the skill of preparation the first class cavities in the Black on phantom installations with different levels of realism (14,15).

2. Methodology

30 second-year students of the stomatological faculty aged 17-19 were selected for the study. The main criterion for selection was the absence of previous preparation experience. Then the students were divided into 3 groups. In the first group of "C" (virtual simulator), the training of the preparation skill was carried out only on the simulator with different cavity shapes. In the second group, "C + F" (simulator and phantom), the training began on a simulator (dissimilar also in various cavities), and then on plastic plates and phantom units. In the third group "F" students were trained only on phantom installations and plastic plates. At the training stage all trainees performed a number of similar tasks: preparation of cavities of various shapes and depths.

The control stage for all three groups is the preparation of teeth according to the first class according to Black on phantom teeth.

Evaluation criteria: they were exhibited on a 3-point system. 1 point is satisfactory (errors in preparation of cavity cavities and depth of cavity more than 1 mm), 2 points are good (errors in preparation of cavity boundaries and depth of cavity less than 1 mm), 3 points - excellent (no outflows beyond the cavity in depth on the preparation boundary).

Also the time needed to teach the skill of preparation in each group was recorded. Then, in each group, students who received an assessment of 3 points were allocated and determined the time spent on manual skills training on "excellent" in each group.

3. Results

At the training stage in the "C" group 55% of students made the preparation for the rating "excellent", 36% for the rating "good", "9%" for the rating "satisfactory".

According to the results of the control preparation in the "C" group 82% of the students scored "excellent", "satisfactory" - 18%. Rating of "good" at the stage of the control preparation was absent. Thus, some students in transition from a phantom of one level of realism to another require additional time for adaptation (fig. 1).
In the "C + F" group (simulator + phantom) 40% of the students scored "excellent" at the training stage, "good" rating has 40% of students, "satisfactory" rating - 20% of the students.

According to the results of the control preparation in the same group of "C + F" 30% of the students completed the work with rating "excellent", with "good" - 40% of the students, "satisfactory" - 30%. And in this group there is an increase of the rating "satisfactory" when moving from one level of realism to another (fig. 2).

**Figure 2**
Results of training and control preparations of the group "C + F" (simulator = phantom)

At the training stage in the group "F" (phantom) 20% of students were assessed for the
"excellent" rating, 10% for the rating "good", 70% for the rating "satisfactory". Based on the results of the control preparation in the "F" group, 20% of the students completed the work with rating “excellent”, "good" - 30% and "satisfactory" - 50% (fig. 3).

**Figure 3**

the results of the training and control preparations of the "F" group (phantom)

The mastering of the manual skill on the "excellent" grade in group "C" on the average took 25 minutes, in the group "C + F" - 50 minutes, and in the group "F" - 3 hours.

### 4. Conclusions

As a result of the study, it was revealed that for the mastering of the manual skill of the preparation of the first class cavities according to Black, the smallest learning curve is on the virtual tactile level simulator. However, students need time to adapt the transition to the actual preparation conditions when moving from a virtual simulator tactile level of realism to a phantom. Therefore, we proposed a new training scheme for the preparation skill: the first stage - a phantom tactile level of realism, then - a virtual simulator to reduce the learning curve of the acquired skill and transition to real preparation conditions.
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